

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/754,542	54,542 01/12/2004		Hajime Kimura	07977-294002	9260
26171	7590	03/23/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI	CHARD	SON P.C.	DONG, DALEI		
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2879	
				DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summan	10/754,542	KIMURA, HAJIME						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Dalei Dong	2879						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ja	nuary 2006.							
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
<i>;</i> — .:	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-18</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) 6-14 is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-18</u> is/are objected to.								
· _	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	·							
	_							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
· <u> </u>	arrimer. Note the attached Cines	7.6.1017 61 10.1111 1 1 1 1 1 2 1						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)						

Application/Control Number: 10/754,542

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Amendment filed on January 9, 2006, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 6-14 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,717,359 to Kimura. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 6 of the present claimed invention is being anticipated by claims 1 of the U.S. Patent No. 6,717,359 to Kimura.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2879

Claim 7 of the present claimed invention is being anticipated by claims 1 and 4 of the U.S. Patent No. 6,717,359 to Kimura.

Claim 8 of the present claimed invention is being anticipated by claim 2 of the U.S. Patent No. 6,717,359 to Kimura.

Claim 9 of the present claimed invention is being anticipated by claim 3 of the U.S. Patent No. 6,717,359 to Kimura.

Claim 10 of the present claimed invention is being anticipated by claims 1 and 4 of the U.S. Patent No. 6,717,359 to Kimura.

Claim 11 of the present claimed invention is being anticipated by claim 2 of the U.S. Patent No. 6,717,359 to Kimura.

Claim 12 of the present claimed invention is being anticipated by claim 3 of the U.S. Patent No. 6,717,359 to Kimura.

Regarding to claim 13, albeit, Kimura does not specifically discloses an operational panel, a connecting portion and a power source switch, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have

utilize an operation panel, a connecting portion and a power source switch for the portable telephone.

Claim 14 of the present claimed invention is being anticipated by claim 2 of the U.S. Patent No. 6,717,359 to Kimura.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 15-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding to claim 15, prior art of record taken alone or in combination fails to teach or suggest forming a wire over the insulating film, wherein the wire is electrically connected to the semiconductor film through the first opening in combination with other claimed features of the present claimed invention.

Regarding to claim 16, prior art of record taken alone or in combination fails to teach or suggest forming a wire over the insulating film, wherein the wire is electrically connected to the semiconductor film through the first opening in combination with other claimed features of the present claimed invention.

Regarding to claim 17, prior art of record taken alone or in combination fails to teach or suggest forming a wire over the insulating film, wherein the wire is electrically

connected to the semiconductor film through the first opening in combination with other claimed features of the present claimed invention.

Regarding to claim 18, prior art of record taken alone or in combination fails to teach or suggest forming a wire over the insulating film, wherein the wire is electrically connected to the semiconductor film through the first opening in combination with other claimed features of the present claimed invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalei Dong whose telephone number is (571)272-2370. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 A.M. to 5 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar Patel can be reached on (571)272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/754,542

Art Unit: 2879

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D.D.

March 14, 2006

Karabi Guharay Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879

Page 6